ISC-EHB: Reconstructing the EHB Earthquake Database Jennifer Weston¹, Bob Engdahl², James Harris¹, Dmitry Storchak¹ & Domenico Di Giacomo¹ 1. International Seismological Centre, UK 2. University of Colorado, Boulder, USA







- EHB
- New criteria and procedures for ISC-EHB
- ISC-EHB: 2000-2003
- Comparisons with other catalogues
- Plans for rebuilding and extending ISC-EHB



ISC-EHB: 2000-2003

Method

•A database of teleseismically well constrained events selected from ISC Bulletin and relocated using algorithm (Engdahl et al., 1998).

EHB

EHB 1960-2008, 141478 events

Comparisons

Future Plans





ISC-EHB: 2000-2003

•A database of teleseismically well constrained events selected from ISC Bulletin and relocated using algorithm (Engdahl et al., 1998).

EHB

Method

•Good depth resolution due to use of; pP, pwP, sP, PcP as well as PKiKP, PKPdf, PKPbc, PKPab. EHB 1960-2008, 141478 events

Comparisons

Future Plans





ISC-EHB: 2000-2003

Method

•A database of teleseismically well constrained events selected from ISC Bulletin and relocated using algorithm (Engdahl et al., 1998).

EHB

- •Good depth resolution due to use of; pP, pwP, sP, PcP as well as PKiKP, PKPdf, PKPbc, PKPab.
- •141,478 events between 1964 and 2008.

EHB 1960-2008, 141478 events

Comparisons





ISC-EHB: 2000-2003

• A database of teleseismically well constrained events selected from ISC Bulletin and relocated using algorithm (Engdahl et al., 1998).

EHB

Method

•Good depth resolution due to use of; pP, pwP, sP, PcP as well as PKiKP, PKPdf, PKPbc, PKPab.

•141,478 events between 1964 and 2008.

EHB 1960-2008, 141478 events

Comparisons

Future Plans



Why extend it?

It is a valuable dataset for tomography and seismicity studies on regional and global scales.

Why reinvent it?

Since 2008 the data quality and volume has increased so changes are needed to produce a cleaner and more robust dataset, the ISC-EHB.



Method ISC

ISC-EHB: 2000-2003

Comparisons

Future Plans

ISC-EHB: Event selection criteria

Events are selected from the ISC Bulletin if they meet both these criteria:

1) There are > 15 teleseismic (>28^o) time-defining stations with a secondary teleseismic azimuth gap < 180^o.

AND

2) The defining prime magnitude > 3.75 (Di Giacomo & Storchak, 2016).



Method

ISC-EHB: 2000-2003

Comparisons

Future Plans

ISC-EHB: Processing

1. ISCloc (Bondar & Storchak, 2011) is used to obtain an initial solution.

	f 🎽 Home About ISC Staff Contact us Site Map					
International Abo	out ISC ISC Bulletin International Station Registry IASPEI GT ISC-EHB Bulletin					
Centre	nt Bibliography Bibliography of Seismology Seismological Contacts ISC-GEM Catalogue					
ISC Bulletin	ISC Locator					
About	Developed UCClose (40 MDP)					
Search the ISC Bulletin	Download ISCloc (40 MB).					
Summary of the Bulletin	Download registered station list (csv).					
Review procedure	Download ISCloc Virtual Machine (844 MB).					
ISC locator	The new ISC location algorithm became operational on January 1, 2011. The main features of the location algorithm include:					
Standards & formats	 uses of all IASPEI standard phases with a valid ak135 travel time prediction; obtains an initial guess for the hypocentre using the Neighbourhood Algorithm; tests for depth resolution before attempting for a free-depth solution; accounting for correlated travel time prediction errors along similar ray paths. The ISC location algorithm can also be compiled in a way that enables the use of regional Pg, Lg, Pn and Sn travel time					
Data collection						
Contributing agencies						
Collected data	predictions from a 3D velocity model. The Regional Seismic Travel Time (RSTT) software package has been developed by the US DoE Lawrence Livermore, Los Alamos and Sandia National Laboratories, and it consists of a global upper mantle 2D whether medical server is a very fact any transmission through the server and RSTT respective and the development of the server is a server of the server of the server is a server of the server of					
Scanned ISS pages	3D velocity model as well as very fast ray tracing utilities through the model. The RSTT package can be downloaded from the DoE NNSA website.					
	We express our gratitude to Malcolm Sambridge and Brian Kennett (Australian National University) for making code of the Neighbourhood Algorithm available to us; Bob Engdahl (Colorado University at Boulder) for discussions and for providing the code for bounce point corrections; Jack Murphy and Brian Barker (Science Applications International Corp.) for the depth-phase stacking algorithm; Johannes Schweitzer (NORSAR) for discussions on the libtau software; and Steve Myers (LLNL), Sandy Ballard (Sandia), and Michael Begnaud (LANL) for discussions on the RSTT software package.					
	The software package comes as is; we cannot provide support or maintenance. You may freely modify the code in order to integrate it to your processing environment. If you use the ISC location algorithm, please refer to the article:					
Bondar, I. and D. Storchak, 2011. Improved location procedures at the International Seismological Centre, <i>Geophys. J.</i> Int., 186 , 1220-1244, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.05107.x.						
Video tutorials for ISCloc Virtual Machine Implementation in Microsoft Windows environment.						
	1. Installation 2. Extensions Installation 3. Importing ISCloc into VirtualBox 4. Starting ISCLocator 5. USB Mass Storage usage					
Lama LICC Bullities Linkser -						
International Se	ai station registry IASPELIGE ISC-EHB Bulletin About ISC Members News Contact us Statt Site map ismological Centre					
Pipers Lane, Th Sesmological Centre	atcham, Berkshire, RG19 4NS, United Kingdom , comments or changes related to this page. B 际地震中心 thermational Semiological Centre Shismological Centre Sh					



Method

ISC-EHB: 2000-2003

Comparisons

Future Plans

ISC-EHB: Processing

1. ISCloc (Bondar & Storchak, 2011) is used to obtain an initial solution.

EHB

2. EHB software relocates all events using the initial ISC solution as the seed.

Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, Vol. 88, No. 3, pp. 722-743, June 1998

Global Teleseismic Earthquake Relocation with Improved Travel Times

and Procedures for Depth Determination

by E. Robert Engdahl, Rob van der Hilst, and Raymond Buland

Abstract We relocate nearly 100,000 events that occurred during the period 1964 to 1995 and are well-constrained teleseismically by arrival-time data reported to the International Seismological Centre (ISC) and to the U.S. Geological Survey's National Earthquake Information Center (NEIC). Hypocenter determination is significantly improved by using, in addition to regional and teleseismic P and S phases, the arrival times of PKiKP, PKPdf, and the teleseismic depth phases pP, pwP, and sP in the relocation procedure. A global probability model developed for later-arriving phases is used to independently identify the depth phases. The relocations are compared to hypocenters reported in the ISC and NEIC catalogs and by other sources. Differences in our epicenters with respect to ISC and NEIC estimates are generally small and regionally systematic due to the combined effects of the observing station network and plate geometry regionally, differences in upper mantle travel times between the reference earth models used, and the use of later-arriving phases. Focal depths are improved substantially over most other independent estimates, demonstrating (for example) how regional structures such as downgoing slabs can severely bias depth estimation when only regional and teleseismic P arrivals are used to determine the hypocenter. The new data base, which is complete to about Mw 5.2 and includes all events for which moment-tensor solutions are available, has immediate application to high-resolution definition of Wadati-Benioff Zones (WBZs) worldwide, regional and global tomographic imaging, and other studies of earth structure.





ISC-EHB: 2000-2003

Comparisons

Future Plans

ISC-EHB: Processing

1. ISCloc (Bondar & Storchak, 2011) is used to obtain an initial solution.

EHB

- 2. EHB software relocates all events using the initial ISC solution as the seed.
- 3. Near station (< 3^o) and secondary phase residuals are reviewed and a depth is adopted or assigned according to best fit. In some cases the depth may be reassigned based on other sources (e.g., USGS broadband depth).

FEQ x iter = 2 0 3 7 h = 1 4 59.55 lat = 31.996 lon = 50.904 depth = 15.0 0.0 smdel = 0.000 1733412 FEQMd iter = 2 0 3 7 h = 4 32 17.99 lat = 19.139 lon = -104.172 depth = 10.0 0.0 smdel = 0.000 0 3 7 CLM 0.46 18.85 264.84 100.08 84.68 Pg iP iP 4 32 31.46 -1.55 0 3 7 CDM 0.46 113.40 95.86 293.69 + Pg iP iP 4 32 34.94 -0.34 CJM 0.90 13.75 301.07 45.92 120.23 + Pn eP eP 4 33 4.28 -1.18 ANMO 15.88 353.12 PcP eS 4 40 39.3 -21.50* NVAR 22.81 350.21 PcP PcP 4 41 13.60 -1.44* -1.4 PDAR 23.99 33.62 PcP PcP PcP	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.4 2.1 1.5 -0.4 -0.4	0.4 0.1 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.4 2.1 1.5 -0.4 -0.4	0.4 0.1 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.4 2.1 1.5 -0.4 -0.4	0.4 0.1 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
0 3 7 COLM 0.46 18.85 264.84 100.08 84.68 Pg iP iP 4 32 25.36 -1.74 COLM 0.46 84.68 Sg iS iS 4 32 31.46 -1.55 0 3 7 CJM 0.90 19.04 113.40 95.86 293.69 + Pg iP iP 4 32 34.94 -0.34 CJM 0.90 293.69 Sg iS iS 4 32 48.16 1.18 0 3 7 ZIIG 2.99 13.75 301.07 45.92 120.23 + Pn eP eP 4 33 4.28 -1.18 ANMO 15.88 353.12 PcP eS eS 4 40 39.38 -21.50* NVAR 22.81 330.36 PcP PcP 4 41 13.60 -1.44* -1.4 PDAR 23.99 350.21 PcP PcP 4 41 13.60 -1.44* -1.9 ULM 31.74 10.14 PcP PcP PcP 4 41 36.07 0.191* -1.9 VLM 31.74 3.92 353.04 PcP PcP 4 41 36.07 0.18* 0.2 SCHQ 45.52 29.46 PcP PcP 4 42 9.96 -0.24* -0.2 SCHQ 45.52 29.46 PcP PcP PcP 4 42 9.96 -0.24* -0.2 SCHQ 45.52 29.46 PcP PcP PcP 4 42 5.80 0.06* 0.11 1733414 FEQMx iter = 2 0 3 7 h = 5 48 20.50 lat =-49.190 lon = 164.658 depth = 10.0 0.0 smdel = 0.000 ARMA 21.20 32.97 PcP PcP PcP PcP 57 44.70 0.65* -0.7	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.4 2.1 1.5 -0.4 -0.4	0.4 0.1 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.4 2.1 1.5 -0.4 -0.4 -0.4	0.1 0.4 0.1 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
0 3 7 CJM 0.90 19.04 113.40 95.86 293.69 + Pg iP iP 4 32 34.94 -0.34 CJM 0.90 293.69 Sg iS iS 4 32 48.16 1.18 0 3 7 ZIIG 2.99 13.75 301.07 45.92 120.23 + Pn eP eP 4 33 4.28 -1.18 ANMO 15.88 353.12 PcP eS eS 4 40 39.38 -21.50* NVAR 22.81 330.36 PcP PcP 4 41 13.60 -1.44* -1.4 PDAR 23.99 350.21 PcP PcP 4 41 14.90 -1.91* -1.9 ULM 31.74 10.14 PcP PcP PcP 4 41 31.98 -1.10* -1.11* SADO 32.97 33.62 PcP PcP 4 41 36.76 0.18* 0.2* YKA 43.92 353.04 PcP PP PcP 4 42 9.96 -0.24* -0.2* SCHQ 45.52 29.46 PcP PcP 4 42 17.90 1.92* 1.9* ILAR 53.69 38.85 PcP PcP 4 42 45.80 0.06* 0.1* FEQMx iter = 2 0 3 7 h = 5 48 20.50 lat =-49.190 lon = 164.658 depth = 10.0 0.0 smdel = 0.000 ARMA 21.20 327.41 PcP PcP PcP PcP 57 44.70 0.65*	0.0 0.0 0.4 2.1 1.5 -0.4 -0.4	0.4 0.1 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
CJM 0.90 293.69 Sg iS iS 4 32 48.16 1.18 0 3 7 ZIIG 2.99 13.75 301.07 45.92 120.23 + Pn eP eP 4 33 4.28 -1.18 ANMO 15.88 353.12 PcP eS eS 4 40 39.38 -21.50* NVAR 22.81 330.36 PcP PcP 4 41 13.60 -1.44* -1.4 PDAR 23.99 350.21 PcP PcP 4 41 36.76 0.18* 0.2 VKA 43.92 353.04 PcP PcP PcP 4 41 36.76 0.18* 0.2 YKA 43.92 353.04 PcP PcP PcP 4 42 9.96 -0.24* -0.2 SCHQ 45.52 29.46 PcP PcP PcP 42 42 9.96 -0.24* 0.1 -1.1 1733414 FEQMx iter = 2 0 3 7 h = 5	0.0 0.0 0.4 2.1 1.5 -0.4 -0.4 -0.4	0.1 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
0 3 7 ZIIG 2.99 13.75 301.07 45.92 120.23 + Pn eP eP 4 33 4.28 -1.18 ANMO 15.88 353.12 PcP eS eS 4 40 39.38 -21.50* NVAR 22.81 330.36 PcP PcP 4 41 13.60 -1.44* -1.4 PDAR 23.99 350.21 PcP PcP 4 41 13.60 -1.44* -1.9 ULM 31.74 10.14 PcP PcP PcP 4 41 31.98 -1.10* -1.1 SADO 32.97 33.62 PcP PcP 4 41 31.98 -1.10* -1.1 YKA 43.92 353.04 PcP PP PcP 4 42 9.96 -0.24* -0.2 SCHQ 45.52 29.46 PcP PcP PcP 4 42 17.90 1.92* 1.9 ILAR 53.69 338.85 PcP PcP PcP 4 42 45.80 0.06* 0.1 1733414 FEQMx iter = 2 0 3 7 h = 5 48 20.50 lat =-49.190 lon = 164.658 depth = 10.0 0.0 smdel = 0.000 ASAR 35.10 305.37 PcP PcP PcP PcP 57 44.70 0.65* -0.7	0.0 0.4 2.1 1.5 -0.4 -0.4	0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
ANMO 15.88 353.12 PcP eS eS 4 40 39.38 -21.50* NVAR 22.81 330.36 PcP PcP 4 41 13.60 -1.44* -1.4 PDAR 23.99 350.21 PcP PcP 4 41 13.60 -1.44* -1.4 ULM 31.74 10.14 PcP PcP 4 41 31.98 -1.10* -1.9 ULM 31.74 10.14 PcP PcP PcP 4 41 31.98 -1.10* -1.1 SADO 32.97 33.62 PcP PcP PcP 4 42 9.96 -0.24* -0.2 SCHQ 45.52 29.46 PcP PcP PcP 4 42 1.90 1.92* 1.9 ILAR 53.69 338.85 PcP PcP PcP 4 42 45.80 0.06* 0.1 1733414 FEQMx iter = 2 0 3 7 h = 5 48 20.50 lat =-49.190 lon = 164.658 depth = 10.0 0.00 smdel = 0.000	0.4 2.1 1.5 -0.4 -0.4	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
NVAR22.81 330.36 PcPPcP4 4113.60 $-1.44*$ -1.4 PDAR23.99 350.21 PcPPcP4 41 14.90 $-1.91*$ -1.9 ULM 31.74 10.14 PcPPcP4 41 31.98 $-1.10*$ $-1.19*$ SADO32.97 33.62 PcPPcP4 41 36.76 $0.18*$ $0.2 -$ YKA 43.92 353.04 PcPPcP4 42 9.96 $-0.24*$ -0.2 SCHQ 45.52 29.46 PcPPcP4 42 17.90 $1.92*$ $1.9 -$ ILAR 53.69 338.85 PcPPcPPcP4 42 45.80 $0.06*$ $0.1 -$ 1733414FEQMxiter = 2037h = 5 48 20.50 lat =-49.190lon = 164.658 depth = 10.0 0.0 smdel = 0.000 ASAR 35.10 305.37 PcPPcPPcP 5 7 44.70 $0.65*$ -0.7	2.1 1.5 -0.4 -0.4 -0.4	0.0
PDAR23.99 350.21 PcPPcP4 4114.90 $-1.91*$ -1.9 ULM 31.74 10.14 PcPPcPPcP4 41 31.98 $-1.10*$ $-1.11*$ SADO 32.97 33.62 PcPPcPPcP4 41 31.98 $-1.10*$ $-1.11*$ SADO 32.97 33.62 PcPPcPPcP4 41 36.76 $0.18*$ $0.2*$ YKA 43.92 353.04 PcPPPPcP4 42 9.96 $-0.24*$ $-0.2*$ SCHQ 45.52 29.46 PcPPcP4 42 17.90 $1.92*$ $1.9*$ ILAR 53.69 338.85 PcPPcPPcP4 42 45.80 $0.06*$ $0.1*$ 1733414FEQMxiter = 2037h = 5 48 20.50 lat =-49.190lon = 164.658depth = 10.0 0.0 smdel = 0.000 ASAR 35.10 305.37 PcPPcPPcP 57 44.70 $0.65*$ -0.7	1.5 -0.4 -0.4 -0.4	0.0 0.0 0.0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-0.4 -0.4 -0.4	0.0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-0.4	0.0
YKA 43.92 353.04 PcP PP PcP 4.42 9.96 $-0.24*$ $-0.2*$ SCHQ 45.52 29.46 PcP PcP PcP 4.42 17.90 $1.92*$ $1.92*$ 1.9 ILAR 53.69 338.85 PcP PcP PcP 4.42 45.80 $0.06*$ 0.1 1733414 FEQMx iter = 2 0.3 7 h = 5 48 20.50 lat = -49.190 lon = 164.658 depth = 10.0 0.0 smdel = 0.000 ARMA 21.20 327.41 PcP eS eS 5.57 12.90 $-0.67*$ -0.7 ASAR 35.10 305.37 PcP PcP PcP 5.57 44.70 $0.65*$ 0.6	-0.4	0 0
SCHQ 45.52 29.46 PcP PcP PcP 4 42 17.90 1.92* 1.9 ILAR 53.69 338.85 PcP PcP PcP 42 45.80 0.06* 0.1 1733414 FEQMx iter = 2 0 3 7 h = 5 48 20.50 lat =-49.190 lon = 164.658 depth = 10.0 0.0 smdel = 0.000 ARMA 21.20 327.41 PcP eS eS 5 57 12.90 -0.67* -0.7 ASAR 35.10 305.37 PcP PcP PcP PcP 5 5 7 44.70 0.65* -0.7	0 2	0.0
ILAR 53.69 338.85 PcP PcP PcP 42 45.80 0.06* 0.1 1733414 FEQMx iter = 2 0 3 7 h = 5 48 20.50 lat =-49.190 lon = 164.658 depth = 10.0 0.06 * 0.000 ARMA 21.20 327.41 PcP eS eS 5 57 12.90 -0.67 * -0.7 ASAR 35.10 305.37 PcP PcP PcP 5 57 44.70 0.65 * 0.6	-0.3	0.0
1733414 FEQMx iter = 2 0 3 7 h = 5 48 20.50 lat =-49.190 lon = 164.658 depth = 10.0 0.0 smdel = 0.000 ARMA 21.20 327.41 PcP eS eS 5 57 12.90 -0.67★ -0.7 ASAR 35.10 305.37 PcP PcP PcP 5 57 44.70 0.65★ 0.6 -	-0.2	0.0
FEQMx iter = 2 0 3 7 h = 5 48 20.50 lat =-49.190 lon = 164.658 depth = 10.0 0.0 smdel = 0.000 ARMA 21.20 327.41 PcP eS eS 5 57 12.90 -0.67* -0.7 ASAR 35.10 305.37 PcP PcP PcP 5 57 44.70 0.65★ 0.6 -		
ARMA 21.20 327.41 PcP eS eS 5 57 12.90 −0.67* −0.7 ASAR 35.10 305.37 PcP PcP PcP 5 57 44.70 0.65* 0.6 -		
ASAR 35.10 305.37 PcP PcP PcP 5 57 44.70 0.65* 📜 0.6-	2.0	0.0
	-1.5	0.0
1733416		
FEQ x iter = 2 0 3 7 h = 6 28 24.60 lat = 23.360 lon = 142.658 depth =155.0 0.0 smdel = 0.000		
WMQ 49.16 308.43 PcP PcP 6 38 18.50 0.23* 0.2	0.4	0.0
0 3 7 YKA 75.22 5.81 292.02 154.15 27.93 pP epP eP 6 40 25.70 -0.48* -0.5 -6.4 - 1753014	-0.2	0.0
FEQ x iter = 2 0 3 7 h = 12 39 29.10 lat =-20.273 lon = 168.793 depth = 30.0 0.0 smdel = 0.000		
0 3 7 BKM 2.64 13.75 168.72 53.87 348.54 – Pn iPn iP 12 40 9.50 –0.23	0.0	0.4
0 3 7 DZM 2.83 13.75 51.21 53.86 230.37 + Pn ePn eP 12 40 10.36 -2.02	0.0	0.4
0 3 7 NOUC 2.95 13.75 52.40 53.86 231.50 Pn ePn eP 12 40 12.59 -1.36	0.0	0.4
STKA 26.95 239.10 PcP PcP PcP 12 48 30.60 1.12* 1.1		0.0
ASAR 32.48 257.56 PcP PcP PcP 12 48 44.18 1.62* 1.6 ·	-0.1	0.0
ASPA 32.49 257.56 pwP esP epP 12 46 10.30 -1.46* 6.7 -1.5 3.5	-0.1 -1.5	



1. ISCloc (Bondar & Storchak, 2011) is used to obtain an initial solution.

2. EHB software relocates all events using the initial ISC solution as the seed.

3. Near station (< 3^o) and secondary phase residuals are reviewed and a depth is adopted or assigned according to best fit. In some cases the depth may be reassigned based on other sources (e.g., USGS broadband depth).

4. Relocated events are plotted in subduction zone cross sections along with events from ISC-GEM catalogue (Storchak et al., 2015).





1. ISCloc (Bondar & Storchak, 2011) is used to obtain an initial solution.

2. EHB software relocates all events using the initial ISC solution as the seed.

3. Near station (< 3°) and secondary phase residuals are reviewed and a depth is adopted or assigned according to best fit. In some cases the depth may be reassigned based on other sources (e.g., USGS broadband depth).

4. Relocated events are plotted in subduction zone cross sections along with events from ISC-GEM catalogue (Storchak et al., 2015).

5. These plots are used to confirm or modify poorly constrained depths.





Level (L1) - Free depth with standard depth error < 5 km, or fixed depth well constrained by depth phases.



Method

ISC-EHB: 2000-2003

Comparisons

Future Plans

ISC-EHB: Depth Categories

Level (L1) - Free depth with standard depth error < 5 km, or fixed depth well constrained by depth phases.

International Seismological

Centre

Level (L2) - Free depth with depth error 6 - 15 km, or a fixed depth based on the GCMT solution.

Level (L3) - Free depth with depth error > 15 km, or a fixed depth based on review of local/nearby seismicity, or tectonic regions.





Method

ISC-EHB: 2000-2003

Comparisons

Future Plans



ISC-EHB 2003: Location and Magnitude

15,133 events between 2000-2003





Method

ISC-EHB: 2000-2003

Comparisons

Future Plans

ISC-EHB 2003: Location and Magnitude



Prime Mag.



4.0 4.5 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 Magnitude

Method

ISC-EHB: 2000-2003

Comparisons

Future Plans



ISC-EHB 2003: Depth error

Depth Category	No. events	Median (km)
LI	5760	3.3
L2	2878	8.7
L3	6658	n/a



Method

ISC-EHB: 2000-2003

Comparisons

Future Plans



ISC-EHB 2003: Depth error

Depth Category	No. events	Median (km)
LI	5760	3.3
L2	2878	8.7
L3	6658	n/a





Method

Intermediate depth - Hindu Kush

ISC-EHB: 2000-2003

Comparisons

Future Plans





Method

ISC-EHB: 2000-2003

Comparisons

Future Plans



Comparison with other catalogues

Original EHB

Original EHB 20,632 events between 2000-2003.
525 new events.
Median distance between EHB and ISC-EHB locations is 2 km, and difference in depth is 4 km.

Method

ISC-EHB: 2000-2003

Comparisons

Future Plans



Comparison with other catalogues

Original EHB

Original EHB 20,632 events between 2000-2003.
525 new events.
Median distance between EHB and ISC-EHB locations is 2 km, and difference in depth is 4 km.

<u>GCMT</u>

General good agreement between ISC-EHB and GCMT.
Greater bias at large depths.
Large scatter at shallow depths.





•New more rigorous procedures for event selection and processing are applied to produce the ISC-EHB earthquake database.



•New more rigorous procedures for event selection and processing are applied to produce the ISC-EHB earthquake database.

How is ISC-EHB different from EHB?

- •Cleaner and more robust dataset.
- •Released dataset will include subduction zone cross sections.



•New more rigorous procedures for event selection and processing are applied to produce the ISC-EHB earthquake database.

How is ISC-EHB different from EHB?

•Cleaner and more robust dataset.

•Released dataset will include subduction zone cross sections.

What data are available now and where?

The ISC-EHB Bulletin contains 136,024 seismic events from 1964-2008, where the period 2000-2003 has been rebuilt.

<u>www.isc.ac.uk/isc-ehb</u>



Method

ISC-EHB: 2000-2003

Comparisons

Future Plans

Future Plans

•Subduction zone cross sections for 2000-2003 will be available soon.

•Currently processing 2004-2013, which will hopefully be released later in 2017.

•Process 1964-1999.



Method

ISC-EHB: 2000-2003

Comparisons

Future Plans

Future Plans

•Subduction zone cross sections for 2000-2003 will be available soon.

•Currently processing 2004-2013, which will hopefully be released later in 2017.

•Process 1964-1999.

We anticipate the new ISC-EHB database of teleseismically well constrained hypocentres and station residuals will be a valuable resource for global and regional tomography and seismicity studies



Method

ISC-EHB: 2000-2003

Comparisons

Future Plans

Future Plans

•Subduction zone cross sections for 2000-2003 will be available soon.

•Currently processing 2004-2013, which will hopefully be released later in 2017.

•Process 1964-1999.

We anticipate the new ISC-EHB database of teleseismically well constrained hypocentres and station residuals will be a valuable resource for global and regional tomography and seismicity studies

> Download ISC-EHB at: www.isc.ac.uk/isc-ehb Any questions about ISC-EHB, email me at jen@isc.ac.uk

Acknowledgements

64 Institutions in 48 countries, including the Royal Society, BGS and Blacknest in UK, make the annual membership subscriptions to the ISC.

14 international, public or commercial entities sponsor individual ISC projects:



International Seismological Centre EHB

Subduction zone structure - Tonga

ISC-EHB: 2000-2003



Method

Comparisons









